1 2 3 4 5 6	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS, SC SBN 9990 United States Attorney JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division ILA C. DEISS, NY SBN 3052909 Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7124 FAX: (415) 436-7169	
8	Attorneys for Defendants	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11	HAO XU,) N. G. 07, 2202 DVT
12	Plaintiff,) No. C 07-3383 PVT)
13	v.) DEFENDANTS' CROSS-OPPOSITION TO
14	ALBERTO GONZALES, United States Attorney) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY) JUDGMENT
15	General, U.S. Department of Justice; MICHAEL CHERTOFF, Secretary of the))
16	Department of Homeland Security; EMILIO T. GONZALEZ, Director of United))
17	States Citizenship and Immigration Services,))
18	Defendants.	
19		,
20	Plaintiff Hao Xu asks this Court to issue a writ of mandamus, compelling Defendants to make	
21	a determination on his application for adjustment of status. He also asks the Court to find that	
22	Defendants have violated the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), and to grant relief under the	
23	Declaratory Judgment Act. Plaintiff's claims must fail. Plaintiff's application remains pending	
24	because his name check is not yet complete. The facts are undisputed, and Defendants are entitled	
25	to judgment as a matter of law. Accordingly, Defendants respectfully ask this Court to grant their	
26	motion for summary judgment.	
27	This Court has recognized that it has no jurisdiction to compel the FBI to complete the name	
28	check and the claims against Defendant Gonzales, should be dismissed. See Chao v. Gonzales,	
	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment C07-3383 PVT 1	

2007 WL 3022548, *3 (N.D.Cal. Oct. 15, 2007); see also Konchitsky v. Chertoff, 2007 WL 2070325 (N.D.Cal. Jul 13, 2007) at*6. 3 Again, a review of the six TRAC factors laid out in Defendants' motion for summary judgment show that Defendants' have not unreasonably delayed actions pertaining to Plaintiff's adjustment 4 of status application. Further, Plaintiff also asks the Court to grant reasonable attorney's fees 5 pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA") 28 U.S.C. § 2412. If Plaintiff is granted 6 summary judgment, Defendants want to fully brief the issue of the availability of fees under the 7 8 EAJA. 9 For the foregoing reasons, the Government respectfully asks the Court to dismiss Defendants 11 summary judgment as a matter of law. 12

Alberto Gonzales and Emilio T. Gonzalez, and grant the remaining Defendant's motion for

Dated: October 30, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

> SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney

/s/ ILA C. DEISS Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for Defendants

25

26

27

28

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment C07-3383 PVT